

PEOPLE'S TELEVISION

People's Television Network, Inc Broadcast Complex, Visayas Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City 1100 Telephone No. 3453-1097 /www.ptv.ph

BID BULLETIN NO. 1 14 March 2022

Supply, Delivery, Design and Build of a Four (4) Legged Two Hundred Fifty (250) Feet TV Broadcast Tower including the Renovation of Existing Transmitter Building with Roof deck, Permanent Electricity Facilities, Grounding and Lightning Protection System with Site Development; Perimeter Fence and Guard House for PTV Legazpi of People's Television Network, Inc. (PTNI) ITB NO. 2022-0003

This bulletin is being issued to revise/clarify certain portions of the bidding documents. This shall form an integral part of the bidding document for the above-stated project.

		Rer	ninder/ Additi	onal Req	uir	ement						
1	Please see the Preliminary Des	Geotechnical ign.	Investigation	Report	in	Annex	"A"	as	basis	for	the	detailed

All other information in the Bidding Documents inconsistent with the above is hereby revised accordingly. All other provisions which are not affected shall remain in effect.

For further guidance and information of all concerned.

Thank you.

EER H. SALENDAB ATTY. JASON S Chairman Bids and Awards Committee

"ANNEX A"



♥WATER TABLE12SPT N-VALUE∞/13REFUSALCSCORING



FINAL BOREHOLE LOG AND SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAME: PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER

PROJECT LOCATION: <u>Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay</u>

DATE DRILLED: <u>FEBRUARY 22, 2022</u> DATE FINISHED: <u>FEBRUARY 22, 2022</u>

BOREHOLE NO.: 1 BOREHOLE DEPTH: 15.00 m WATER TABLE: Not Reached

DRILL RIG TYPE: MANUAL DRILLING RIG

NP-No Plasticity

-Insufficient for Test

T

Water Table

Г					Π				SPT				ATTERBERG		SIEVE ANALYSIS												
	_	NO	'ERY		IBOL	ATION	DESCRIPTION								%	PASS	SING	SIE	VE N	0.							
LTH L		MPLE	RECO/	D.	SYN	SSIFIC		BI	-0V	VS	ALUE	GRAPH		GRAPH		ivic	LL	PI									
	2	SAP	% Б	R.Q	Ĭ	CLA	GROUND SURFACE	15	15	15	^- Z	10	20	30	40	50		%	%	1	3/4	1/2	4	10	40	100	200
1	5	55-1	100		Ň	ML	Sandy SILT, light gray; medium to fine sand; low plasticity; very stiff.	11	13	13	26						25.3	37	10	100	100	100	97	92	71	55	45
2					$\left(\right)$									0													
3	9	55-2	100		V	CL	Sandy CLAY, brown; medium to fine sand; traces of tuff fragments; moderate plasticity; hard.	30	19	19	38				0		29.5	39	18	100	100	100	100	99	88	74	65
5	9	S-3	100					30	30	27	57						26.7	41	20	100	100	100	98	95	79	63	54
6	5	55-4	100		Ň	СН	CLAY, brown; traces of tuff fragments; high plasticity; hard.	25	25	28	53					0											
7	g	S-5	100		$\left \right $			30	27	27	54					0	42.0	60	31	100	100	100	99	96	87	73	68
8					Ň	ML	Sandy SILT, brown; very fine to fine sand; traces of tuff fragments; low plasticity; hard.																				
9	5																										
Г	*** CONTINUATION OF BH-1 AT NEXT PAGE ***			NC	NEEDU		ONC		NCY																		
LEGEND LL-Liquid Limit PL-Plastic Limit RQD-Rock Quality Designation MC-Moisture Content WT-Water Table			ent	t	Split-Spoon Sample	Encoded by:																					

Approved by:

Engr. NoMD. Banua

Head of Engineering Department

2

SIDO

FINAL BOREHOLE LOG AND SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

PROJECT NAME: <u>PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER</u>

PROJECT LOCATION: <u>Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay</u>

DATE DRILLED: FEBRUARY 22, 2022

BOREHOLE NO.: <u>1</u> BOREHOLE DEPTH: <u>15.00 m</u> WATER TABLE: <u>Not Reached</u>

DRILL RIG TYPE: MANUAL DRILLING RIG



LEGEND		TYPE OF SAMPLING	NDB ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY					
LL-Liquid Limit PL-Plastic Limit		Split-Spoon Sample	5 J.H.	RX				
Designation	۵	Core Sample	Encoded by:	G. P. Banigo-os Technical Manager	Continues L			
WT-Water Table	Ø	Wash Sample	Approved by:	Ener Note Banua	1996			
* -Insufficient for Test	T	Water Table	, .pp. 3veu by.	Head of Engineering Department	Z			

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report pertains to the **PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER** located at Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay presents the evaluation of the results of the geotechnical investigation of the said area.

The geotechnical investigation drilled one (1) borehole, in order to assess the sub-soil condition and evaluate its characteristics, and for each site the soil samples recovered were then brought to the soil laboratory center for analysis and testing. Laboratory tests on selected soil samples include:

- Soil Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D2487-93)
- Grain Size Analysis of Soils (ASTM D422-63)
- Liquid Limits of Soils (ASTM D4318-95)
- Plastic Limits of Soils (ASTM D4318-95)
- Determination of Moisture Content of Soils (ASTM D2216-92)
- Unconfined Compressive Strength of Cohesive Soil (ASTM D2166-91)

This report presents the field (Standard Penetration Test – SPT) and laboratory procedures adopted in the investigation as well as the evaluation of the test results for the subsequent foundation analysis.

Subsurface conditions are presented in the form of idealized soil profiles (See: Appendices) and borehole logs that include the results of the field and laboratory tests on soil samples.

2.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

The field investigation within the site consisted of drilling of one (1) borehole. The Borehole Location Plan is appended to this report (See Appendices). Table 1 presents the summary of the investigation conducted.

Designation	Borehole	Level	Casing Depth	Date of Drilling
BH-1	15.00 m	Not Reached	9.00 m	02-22-22 to 02-22-22

Table 1: Summary of Field Investigation

Washboring procedures were employed in order to advance the borehole and the Standard Penetration Test was done in order to get the penetration resistance profile of the underlying soils.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was done in Accordance with ASTM specifications. For each test, a 2-inch (50.8mm) outside diameter Spoon Sampler is driven into soil a distance of 18 inches (460mm) by means of a 140lb. (63.5 kg.) driving mass falling free from a height of 30 inches (760mm). The number of blows needed to drive the sampler 18 inches (460mm) is recorded and the number of blows needed to drive the <u>last 12 inches</u> (305mm) is taken as the <u>N-value</u>.

Undisturbed Sample was done accordance with ASTMD-1587 procedure using thin-walled tube sampler to obtain intact specimens of fine-grained soils for laboratory tests to determine engineering properties of soils (strength, compressibility, permeability, and density).

Soil samples were recovered using the spoon sampler and were then taken to the laboratory for analysis and testing.

Complementing the field activities is the laboratory testing of the samples obtained. The results of the field works and laboratory investigation were then used to establish the parameters for determining the type of foundation, level of foundation and bearing capacities.

3.0 LABORATORY TESTING

Representative Soil Samples obtained during drilling / samplings were subjected to the following laboratory tests:

Soil Classification Tests per ASTM D2487

This standard describes a system for classifying mineral and organo-mineral soils for engineering purposes based on laboratory determination of particle size characteristics, liquid limit and plasticity index.

Grain Size Analysis per ASTM D422

This Method covers the quantities determination of the distribution of particle sizes of soils.

Soil was passed through a series of sieve, the weight of soil retained in each sieve determined and recorded. For each sample analyzed, a gradation curve was drawn based on the percent finer weight.

Determination Moisture Content per ASTM D2216

This method covers the laboratory determination of the water / moisture content of soil by weight.

The moisture content of a material is defined as the ratio, express as a percentage, of the mass of pore water in a given mass of material to the mass of the solid material particles.

Atterberg Limit Test per ASTM D4318

This test method covers the determination of the liquid limit, plastic limit and the plasticity index of soils.

Liquid Limit of Soil

The liquid limit of a soil is the water content, express as a percentage of the mass of the oven-dried soil after attaining the condition between the liquid and plastic states.

Plastic Limit and Plasticity Index of Soils

The plastic limit of the soil is the water content, express as a percentage of the mass of the oven oven-dried soil after attaining the condition between the plastic and semi-solid states.

Plasticity Index is defined as the difference of the liquid and plastic limits of the soil.

Unconfined Compressive Strength of Cohesive Soil ASTM D2166-91

This test method covers the determination of the unconfined compressive strength of cohesive soil in the intact, remolded, or reconstituted condition, using strain-controlled application of the axial load.

4.0 RESULTS OF FIELD AND LABORATORY TESTING

The result of field and laboratory testing are presented in table 2.

Depth. m	USCS Classification / Description	SPT	Consistency /
Deptil, III	·····	N-value	Relative Condition
1.05 – 1.50	ML – Sandy SILT – light gray	26	Very Stiff
2.55 - 3.00	CL – Sandy CLAY – brown	38	Hard
4.05 - 4.50	CL – Sandy CLAY – brown	57	Hard
5.55 - 6.00	CH – CLAY – brown	53	Hard
7.05 – 7.50	CH – CLAY – brown	54	Hard
8.55 – 9.00	ML – Sandy SILT – brown	63	Hard
10.05 - 10.50	ML – Sandy SILT – brown	64	Hard
11.55 – 12.00	ML – Sandy SILT – brown	63	Hard
13.05 - 13.50	ML – Sandy SILT – brown	73	Hard
13.50 - 15.00	ML – Sandy SILT – brown	75	Hard

Table 2: Idealized subsurface profile at BH-1

5.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY AND SEISMICITY

Albay has a total land area of 2,575.77 square kilometres (994.51 sq mi), which makes it the 53rd biggest province. The province is bordered by the provinces of Camarines Sur to the north and Sorsogon to the south. To the northeast lies the Lagonoy Gulf, which separates the province from Catanduanes. To southwest the province is the Burias Pass with the island the of of Burias of Masbate province located about 14 kilometres (8.7 mi) offshore.

In 2016, an area of 250,000 hectares (620,000 acres) was declared a UNESCO Biosphere Reserve. The Albay Biosphere Reserve is home to 182 terrestrial plant species, of which 46 are endemic to the Philippines. Its marine waters and coastal area also provide habitat to five of the world's seven marine turtle species, as well as mangrove, seagrass and seaweed ecosystems.

Topography

The province is generally mountainous with scattered fertile plains and valleys. On the eastern part of the province is a line of volcanic mountains starting with the northernmost Malinao in Tiwi, followed by Mount Masaraga and the free-standing Mayon Volcano. Separated by the Poliqui Bay is the Pocdol Mountains in the town of Manito.

The stratovolcano of Mayon standing at around 2,462 metres (8,077 ft), is the highest point of the province. It is the most famous landform in Albay and in the whole Bicol Region. This active volcano falls under the jurisdiction of eight municipalities and cities of Albay: Camalig, Daraga, Guinobatan, Legazpi City, Ligao City, Malilipot, Santo Domingo, and Tabaco City.

The western coast of the province is mountainous but not as prominent as the eastern range with the highest elevation at around 490 metres (1,610 ft) Among these mountains are Mount Catburawan in Ligao and Mount Pantao in Oas.

6.0 GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN / ENGINEERING CONSIDERATION

6.1 Seismic Design Criteria

The Philippine archipelago is a part of the Pacific Ring of Fire and considered as part of seismically active region globally, and locally classified under Seismic Zone IV where Z=0.4. The most probable seismic source that may affect the project site is the Luzon West Valley Fault System, Manila Trench, Lubang Fault, Casiguran Fault and Philippine Fault Zone*.

The following seismic design parameters are:

PARAMETER	VALUE
Peak Ground Acceleration	0.40g
Soil Profile Type	S _D (lenses only at the upper strata) S _C (lower strata)
Seismic Zone	4
Seismic Source Type	А

*Table 5.1 – Seismic Design Parameters Other seismic parameters shall be determined by the Design Engineer using NSCP 2015 7th Edition.

For concentrically loaded footings the required area is determined from

The allowable bearing pressure can be increased by 33% when aside from the service loads, wind and other lateral loads (transient loads) are considered.

(D+L+W)		D+L+E
A _{req} =	or	
1.33q a		1.33q a

6.2 Geotechnical Design Criteria

Soil conditions and local geological features affecting the site response are the following: <u>thick bedded of hard SAND SILT OVERLAIN BY 1.50-meter lenses (from natural grade line) of very stiff SANDY SILT, 3.00-meter lenses of hard SANDY CLAY, 3.00-meter lenses of hard CLAY, and finally, 1.50-meter lenses of hard SANDY SILT.</u>

PARAMETER	VALUE				
Assumed founding depth for	1.50 meter down from natura				
Shallow Footing	grade line				
Adhesion between foundation base					
to soil materials (for lateral pressure)	C = 165 kPa				
Poisson's Ratio, μ	0.2 to 0.3				

The corresponding geotechnical design parameters are:

6.3 Potential Site Liquefaction?

Based from the idealized subsurface profile, <u>the site area is not potential for both</u> cyclic liquefaction and long-consolidation due to thick bedded of hard SAND SILT OVERLAIN BY 1.50-meter lenses (from natural grade line) of very stiff SANDY SILT, 3.00-meter lenses of hard SANDY CLAY, 3.00-meter lenses of hard CLAY, and finally, 1.50-meter lenses of hard SANDY SILT.

6.4 Shallow Footing with <u>NO SOIL REMEDIATION NEEDED</u>

Given the character of subsurface soil immediately underlain the proposed structure, the most economical and practical type of footing is a <u>shallow footing with no soil</u> <u>remedial technique needed with robust grade beams tying all the columns</u>, but depends also on the following factors, re: structural configuration, gravitational & seismic loads, complexity of the soil profile, regional stratigraphy, structure and soil inter-action. See computation of allowable soil bearing pressure (asbp) and settlements at the Appendices.

6.5 Overall Stability against Overturning

The foundation / footing should be checked for overturning; only those live loads that contribute to overturning should be included, and dead loads that stabilize against overturning should be multiplied by 0.90. A safety factor of at least 1.5 should be maintained against overturning, unless otherwise specified by the local building code.

6.6 For Eccentrically loaded Footing

If the supported column is not concentric with the footing area or if the column transmits at its juncture with the footing not only a vertical load but also a bending moment. In either case, the load effects at the footing base can be represented by the vertical load P and a bending moment M. The resulting bearing pressures are again assumed to be linearly distributed. As long as the eccentricity e = M/P does not exceed the kern distance k of the footing are, the usual flexure formula

Q_{max} = P/A +/- Mc/I

permits the determination of the bearing pressures at the two extreme edges. The footing area is found by trial and error from the condition $q_{max} \leq q_a$.

6.7 Load Combinations using Strength Design or Load and Resistance Factor Design

6.7.1 Basic Load Combination

Structures and all portions thereof shall resist the most critical effects from the following combinations of factored loads:

1.4(D+F) 1.2(D+F+T) +1.6(L+H) +0.5(L_r or R) 1.2D+1.6(L_r or R) + (f₁Lor0.80W) 1.2D+1.6W+f₁L+0.5(L_r or R) 1.2D+1.0E+f₁L 0.9D+1.6W+1.6H 0.9D+1.6E+1.6H

6.7.2 Symbols and Notation

D=dead load

E=earthquake load

F=fluids load with well defined pressures and maximum heights

H=lateral load from the combined soil & water pressures

L=live load

L_r=roof live load including any permitted live load reduction

P=ponding load

R=rain load on undeflected roof

T=self-straining force and effects arising from contraction and expansion resulting from temperature changes, shrinkage, moisture change, creep in component materials, movement due to differential settlement or combination thereof.

W=wind pressure load

- F_1 =1.0 for floors in places of public assembly, for live loads in excess of 4.8 kPa, and garage live load
 - =0.5 for other live loads

7.0 LIMITATION

The evaluation presented was generally based on the result of **a single borehole** drilled at the site for **PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER** located at Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay.

The structural design of the sub-structure is beyond the scope of this report. The foregoing analyses, recommendations and conclusions have been based on the subsoil investigation data **AT or NEAR a single borehole**, AND NOT TO BE INTERPRETED AS A WHOLE on the entire site lot, THUS, should any difference in the subsoil conditions be observed during construction, the undersigned shall be informed so that necessary corrections and changes in the recommendations can be made.

Extreme protection during excavation MUST BE EXERCISED to prevent soil softening thus, erosion by controlled dewatering technique because of the existence of high water table.

Correlated SPT Blow count for sand shear friction (ϕ) and clay cohesion value (c) were used in this computation.

<u>ENGR. NOLI D. BANUA</u>								
PRC No.:	0045609							
TIN No.:	154851714							
PTR No.:	0173143							
DATE:	01-11-22							
PLACE:	MANILA							

OPTION 01: DESIGN OF SHALLOW FOOTING (Depth, D = 1.50 meters) (For 2-storey Building)...square, combined & strip footings (with breadth,B)



Computation for the Allowable Safe Bearing Pressure: at or near BH-01

silty CLAY PROFILE

$q_u = c' \cdot N_c + p$	where : q _u =ultimate bearing pressure, kN per s.m.
	q _s =allowable safe bearing pressure, kN per s.m.
$q_u = c' \cdot N_c + \gamma_{moist} \cdot D$	FS=Factor of Safety
$q_s = \frac{q_u}{FS}$	c'=approximate eff. value of cohesion of clay Nc=bearing capacity factordepending only on the geometry p=initial total overburden pressure at foundation level
$q_s = \frac{c' \cdot N_c + \gamma_{moist} \cdot D}{1}$	γ _{moist} = moist unit weight of soil @ 1.50 meter depth,kN per c.m.*
FS FS	D=overburden depth, m

TABLE 10-3. APPROXIMATE RELATION BETWEEN N* AND COHESION OF CLAYS								
Value of N*	Relative Condition of	Approximate value of Cohesion, c						
value oj N	Soil	psf	kN/m2					
2 to 4	soft	250-500	`12-24					
4 to 8	medium	500-1000	24-48					
8 to 15	stiff	1000-2000	48-96					
15 to 30	very stiff	2000-4000	96-190					
above 30	hard	above 4000	above 190					

Moist Unit Weight (γ_{moist})

Assumption:

Specific_Gravity $G_s := 2$

G_s := 2.65 Unit_Weight_of_Water

Moisture_Content w := 0.253 D

...

 $0\% \qquad S := 0.30$

Analysis:

$$:= \frac{(1+w) \cdot G_{s} \cdot \gamma_{w}}{1 + \frac{w \cdot G_{s}}{s}}$$

 γ_{moist}

$$\gamma_{\text{moist}} = 10.070 \cdot \frac{\text{kN}}{\text{m}^3}$$

Moisture Content (w) = 25.30% at <u>Depth,D = 1.50 m</u>, from the Appendix; Moist Unit Weight, γ_{moist} = 10.070 kN per c.m. (computed)

COMPUTATION OF BEARING CAPACITY FACTOR , N _c , FOR UNDRAINED ANALYSIS, after									
Skempton (1951)									
At depth, D=1.50 meters									
Type of Footing	Breadth (B),m	Length (L),m	D/B	B/L	N _c				
Square Ftg	1.50	1.50	1.000	1.000	7.700				
	2.00	2.00	0.750	1.000	7.500				
	2.50	2.50	0.600	1.000	7.200				
	3.00	3.00	0.500	1.000	7.100				
	3.50	3.50	0.429	1.000	7.000				
	4.00	4.00	0.375	1.000	6.950				
Combined Ftg.	1.50	3.00	1.000	0.500	7.050				
	2.00	4.00	0.750	0.500	6.800				
	2.50	5.00	0.600	0.500	6.700				
	3.00	6.00	0.500	0.500	6.500				
	3.50	7.00	0.429	0.500	6.400				
	4.00	8.00	0.375	0.500	6.350				

FOR SQUARE FOOTING:







Allowable Soil Bearing Pressure, SBP:



FOR COMBINED FOOTING:







Allowable Soil Bearing Pressure, SBP:





determine the predicted Allowable Soil Bearing Pressure to depth influence of 2xB,

$$q := \frac{2.84}{N} \cdot q_{c} \cdot \left(\frac{B}{B+0.33}\right)^{2} \text{ for } B > 1.25 \text{m. } \underline{Meyerhof(1965)}.$$
 All units of δ are in millimeter

$$B := \begin{pmatrix} 1.50\\ 2.00\\ 2.50\\ 3.00\\ 3.50\\ 4.00 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$N'_{A} := \begin{pmatrix} 40\\ 44\\ 46\\ 49\\ 51\\ 52 \end{pmatrix}$$

compute the value of $\,{\boldsymbol{q}}_c$ to limit settlement of 25 mm:

$$\mathbf{q'_{cA}} \coloneqq \frac{\mathbf{q_c} \cdot \mathbf{N'_A}}{\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B} + 0.33}\right)^2 \cdot 2.84}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{c1}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{mm}} 40}{2.84 \left(\frac{1.50\text{m}}{1.50\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c1}' = 524.085 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c2}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{mm}} 44}{2.84 \left(\frac{2.00\text{m}}{2.00\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c2}' = 525.686 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c3}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{mm}} 46}{2.84 \left(\frac{2.00\text{m}}{2.50\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c3}' \equiv 518.886 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c4}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{m}} 49}{2.84 \left(\frac{3.00\text{m}}{2.50\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c4}' \equiv 531.452 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c4}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{m}} 49}{2.84 \left(\frac{3.00\text{m}}{3.00\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c5}' \equiv 537.593 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{m}} 51}{2.84 \left(\frac{3.50\text{m}}{3.50\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 537.593 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{m}} 51}{2.84 \left(\frac{4.00\text{m}}{4.00\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{s}} 52}{2.84 \left(\frac{4.00\text{m}}{4.00\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \coloneqq \frac{25 \frac{\text{mm}}{\text{s}} 52}{2.5686 \text{s}} \\ \frac{531.452}{537.593} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{4.00\text{m}}{3.50\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{4.00\text{m}}{3.50\text{m} + 0.33\text{m}}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{524.085}{531.452}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{524.085}{531.452}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{4.00\text{m}}{531.68}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{524.085}{531.452}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{524.085}{531.452}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{525.086}{531.68}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{525.086}{531.68}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{q}_{c6}' \equiv 536.390 \cdot \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{525.086}{531.452}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{525.086}{531.68}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{kPa} \\ \frac{1}{2.84 \left(\frac{525.086}{531.68}\right)^2} \cdot \mathbf{kPa} & \mathbf{$$

ALLOWABLE SOIL BEARING PRESSURE (ASBP) AT or NEAR BH 01									
At depth, D=1.50 meters									
Tupo of Footing	Breadth	Length		Settlement,					
Type of Footing	(B) <i>,</i> m	(L) <i>,</i> m	ASBP (KPd)	mm					
	1.50	1.50	524.085	25.000					
	2.00	2.00	525.686	25.000					
Square Ftg.	2.50	2.50	518.886	25.000					
	3.00	3.00	531.452	25.000					
	3.50	3.50	537.593	25.000					
	4.00	4.00	536.390	25.000					
	1.50	3.00	524.085	25.000					
	2.00	4.00	525.686	25.000					
Combined Ftg.	2.50	5.00	518.886	25.000					
	3.00	6.00	531.452	25.000					
	3.50	6.00	537.593	25.000					
	4.00	6.00	536.390	25.000					

SUMMARY for BH No. 01

CONCLUSION : Based from the above matrix, ALL the computed ALLOWABLE SOIL BEARING PRESSURE (ASBP) EXCEEDED the 96 kPa (based from the DPWH & Dep-ED 3-to 4-sty school bldg. for shallow footing) for the EXISTING SSS NAGA BRANCH BUILDING

RECOMMENDATION:

NO REMEDIAL MEASURE IS NECESSARY.

REFERENCES :

- a : A Short Course in Foundation Engineering by N.E. Simons and B.K. Menzies, c. Butterworth & Co. Ltd., 1977
- *: Principles of Geotechnical Engineering. 4th Ed. by Braja M. Das
- **: Foundation Design Principles and Practices, 2nd Ed. by Donald P. Coduto

 $2 \cdot 1.5 + 1.5 = 4.500$





PROJECT: PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER LOCATION: Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay SAMPLE NO.: <u>BH-1 SS-1</u> DEPTH: <u>1.05 - 1.50 m</u> DATE: <u>March 01, 2022</u>

SIEVE ANALYSIS (ASTM D 422)

Wet Soil + \	Wt. Of Tare	122.83	Original Wt		106.70	PARTICLE -SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE				
Dry Soil + V	Vt. Of Tare	101.27	Oven-Dry W	/t.	85.14					
Wt. Of Tare	2	16.13	Dry Washer	J Weight	46.46	SIEVE SIZE NO. 2" 1"3/4 1/2 #4 #10 #40 #100 #200				
			Moisture Co	ontent	25.32					
SIEV	/E SIZE	WT.	DERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	│ 90				
	DIAMETER	RETAINED	PERCEIVI	PASSING	PASSING	80				
INCH / NO.	(mm)	(gm)	NET AIRES	10TH	(%)					
2"	50.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	│				
1"	25.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100					
3/4	19.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100					
1/2"	12.50	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	│ ≝ 50 HIIIII HIIIII HIIIII NUULI I				
#4	4.75	2.80	3.29	96.71	97					
#10	2.0	4.16	4.89	91.83	92					
#40	0.425	17.99	21.13	70.70	71					
#100	0.15	13.55	15.91	54.78	55					
#200	0.075	7.96	9.35	45.43	45					
P	'AN									
WAS	HLOSS	38.68	45.43							
тс	JTAL	85.14				100 10 1 0.1 0.01 PARTICLE SIZE DIAMETER				
i										

LIQUID LIMIT & PLASTIC LIMIT (ASTM D 4318-05)

LI	PLASTIC LIMIT					
TRIAL NO.	1	2	3	4	1	2
WT. OF WET SOIL + TARE	20.13	21.01	20.72	23.20	18.20	18.42
WT. OF DRY SOIL + TARE	16.50	17.16	16.76	18.60	15.70	15.90
WATER CONTENT	3.63	3.85	3.96	4.60	2.50	2.52
TARE NO.	E8	\$3	F10	M6	P8	M10
TARE WT.	6.39	6.64	6.24	6.70	6.45	6.72
WT. OF DRY SOIL	10.11	10.52	10.52	11.90	9.25	9.18
MOISTURE CONTENT, %	35.9	36.6	37.6	38.7	27.0	27.5
NO. OF BLOWS	31	27	21	17	AVERACE	27.2
LIQUID LIMIT		30	5.9		AVERAGE	27.2

LIQUID LIMIT:	36.9
PLASTIC LIMIT:	27.2
PLASTICITY INDEX:	9.7

SOIL DESCRIPTION:	Sandy SILT
GROUP SYMBOL	ML
COLOR	light gray

TESTED BY:

A. Pradeep











PROJECT: PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER LOCATION: Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay SAMPLE NO.: <u>BH-1 SS-2</u> DEPTH: <u>2.55 - 3.00 m</u> DATE: <u>March 01, 2022</u>

SIEVE ANALYSIS (ASTM D 422)

Wet Soil + \	Wt. Of Tare	134.45	Original Wt		117.21	PARTICLE - SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE			
Dry Soil + V	Vt. Of Tare	107.72	Oven-Dry W	Oven-Dry Wt.					
Wt. Of Tare	2	17.24	Dry Washed	d Weight	31.62	SIEVE SIZE NO. 2" 1"3/4 1/2 #4 #10 #40 #100 #200			
			Moisture Content		29.54				
SIEV	/E SIZE	WT.	DERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	90			
	DIAMETER	RETAINED	PERCEINI	PASSING	PASSING	80			
INCH / NO.	(mm)	(gm)	KETAINED	10TH	(%)	±			
2"	50.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	<u>9</u> 70			
1"	25.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100				
3/4	19.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100				
1/2"	12.50	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	<u>₩</u> 50			
#4	4.75	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	불 40			
#10	2.0	0.73	0.81	99.19	99				
#40	0.425	10.10	11.16	88.03	88	щ 30			
#100	0.15	12.97	14.33	73.70	74	20			
#200	0.075	7.82	8.64	65.05	65	10			
P	PAN								
WAS	H LOSS	58.86	65.05			0			
TC	DTAL	90.48				7 100 10 1 0.1 0.01 PARTICLE SIZE DIAMETER			

LIQUID LIMIT & PLASTIC LIMIT (ASTM D 4318-05)

LI	PLASTIC LIMIT					
TRIAL NO.	1	2	3	4	1	2
WT. OF WET SOIL + TARE	19.05	19.48	21.75	21.11	21.51	21.17
WT. OF DRY SOIL + TARE	15.58	15.92	17.43	16.91	18.95	18.68
WATER CONTENT	3.47	3.56	4.32	4.20	2.56	2.49
TARE NO.	J9	К3	D9	S5	W11	H10
TARE WT.	6.35	6.59	6.45	6.47	6.75	6.51
WT. OF DRY SOIL	9.23	9.33	10.98	10.44	12.20	12.17
MOISTURE CONTENT, %	37.6	38.2	39.3	40.2	21.0	20.5
NO. OF BLOWS	32	28	22	18	AVERACE 30.7	
LIQUID LIMIT		38	3.7		AVERAGE	20.7

LIQUID LIMIT:	38.7
PLASTIC LIMIT:	20.7
PLASTICITY INDEX:	18.0

SOIL DESCRIPTION:	Sandy CLAY
GROUP SYMBOL	CL
COLOR	brown

A. Pradeep

higo-os

TESTED BY:







PROJECT: PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER LOCATION: Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay SAMPLE NO.: <u>BH-1 SS-3</u> DEPTH: <u>4.05 - 4.50 m</u> DATE: <u>March 01, 2022</u>

SIEVE ANALYSIS (ASTM D 422)

Wet Soil + \	Wt. Of Tare	113.19	Original Wt		96.58	PARTICLE -SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE
Dry Soil + V	Vt. Of Tare	92.84	Oven-Dry W	/t.	76.23	
Wt. Of Tare	2	16.61	Dry Washer	J Weight	35.43	SIEVE SIZE NO. 2" 1"3/4 1/2 #4 #10 #40 #100 #200
			Moisture Co	ontent	26.70	
SIEV	/E SIZE	WT.	DERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	90
	DIAMETER	RETAINED	PERCEIVE	PASSING	PASSING	80
INCH / NO.	(mm)	(gm)	RETAINED	10TH	(%)	
2"	50.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
1"	25.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
3/4	19.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
1/2"	12.50	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
#4	4.75	1.32	1.73	98.27	98	
#10	2.0	2.87	3.76	94.50	95	
#40	0.425	12.08	15.85	78.66	79	
#100	0.15	12.20	16.00	62.65	63	
#200	0.075	6.96	9.13	53.52	54	
P	'AN					
WAS	HLOSS	40.80	53.52			
тс	JTAL	76.23				100 10 1 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0
i						

LIQUID LIMIT & PLASTIC LIMIT (ASTM D 4318-05)

LI	PLASTIC LIMIT					
TRIAL NO.	1	2	3	4	1	2
WT. OF WET SOIL + TARE	18.95	24.77	23.39	20.43	19.01	20.42
WT. OF DRY SOIL + TARE	15.57	19.70	18.43	16.29	16.86	18.08
WATER CONTENT	3.38	5.07	4.96	4.14	2.15	2.34
TARE NO.	F4	H12	Q3	A9	R3	V9
TARE WT.	7.04	7.13	6.50	6.58	6.70	6.81
WT. OF DRY SOIL	8.53	12.57	11.93	9.71	10.16	11.27
MOISTURE CONTENT, %	39.6	40.3	41.6	42.6	21.2	20.8
NO. OF BLOWS	31	27	21	17	AVERACE	21.0
LIQUID LIMIT		4().7		AVERAGE	21.0

LIQUID LIMIT:	40.7
PLASTIC LIMIT:	21.0
PLASTICITY INDEX:	19.7

SOIL DESCRIPTION:	Sandy CLAY
GROUP SYMBOL	CL
COLOR	brown

A. Pradeep

ige

TESTED BY:







PROJECT: PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER LOCATION: Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay SAMPLE NO.: <u>BH-1 SS-5</u> DEPTH: <u>7.05 - 7.50 m</u> DATE: <u>March 01, 2022</u>

SIEVE ANALYSIS (ASTM D 422)

Wet Soil + \	Wt. Of Tare	101.93	Original Wt	Original Wt		PARTICLE -SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE
Dry Soil + V	Vt. Of Tare	76.65	Oven-Dry W	/t.	60.23	
Wt. Of Tare	2	16.42	Dry Washer	J Weight	19.20	SIEVE SIZE NO. 2" 1"3/4 1/2 #4 #10 #40 #100 #200
			Moisture Co	ontent	41.97	
SIEV	/E SIZE	WT.	DERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	90 90
	DIAMETER	RETAINED	PERCEIVE	PASSING	PASSING	80
INCH / NO.	(mm)	(gm)	RETAINED	10TH	(%)	
2"	50.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
1"	25.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
3/4	19.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
1/2"	12.50	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
#4	4.75	0.80	1.33	98.67	99	
#10	2.0	1.33	2.21	96.46	96	
#40	0.425	5.53	9.18	87.28	87	
#100	0.15	8.72	14.48	72.80	73	
#200	0.075	2.82	4.68	68.12	68	
P	'AN					
WAS	H LOSS	41.03	68.12			
тс	JTAL	60.23				100 10 1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
í						

LIQUID LIMIT & PLASTIC LIMIT (ASTM D 4318-05)

LI	PLASTIC LIMIT					
TRIAL NO.	1	2	3	4	1	2
WT. OF WET SOIL + TARE	23.44	20.32	21.68	22.09	20.55	21.90
WT. OF DRY SOIL + TARE	17.21	15.34	15.96	16.23	17.40	18.55
WATER CONTENT	6.23	4.98	5.72	5.86	3.15	3.35
TARE NO.	M4	W3	B1	F11	N10	К2
TARE WT.	6.51	6.93	6.57	6.84	6.84	6.58
WT. OF DRY SOIL	10.70	8.41	9.39	9.39	10.56	11.97
MOISTURE CONTENT, %	58.2	59.2	60.9	62.4	29.8	28.0
NO. OF BLOWS	32	28	22	18		
LIQUID LIMIT		60	0.0		AVERAGE	20.9

LIQUID LIMIT:	60.0
PLASTIC LIMIT:	28.9
PLASTICITY INDEX:	31.1

SOIL DESCRIPTION:	CLAY
GROUP SYMBOL	СН
COLOR	brown

A. Pradeep

igq

TESTED BY:

CERTIFIED BY:



FLOW CURVE





PROJECT: PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER LOCATION: Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay SAMPLE NO.: <u>BH-1 SS-7</u> DEPTH: <u>10.05 - 10.50 m</u> DATE: <u>March 01, 2022</u>

SIEVE ANALYSIS (ASTM D 422)

Wet Soil + \	Wt. Of Tare	99.73	Original Wt	Original Wt		PARTICLE -SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE
Dry Soil + V	Vt. Of Tare	76.08	Oven-Dry W	/t.	58.67	
Wt. Of Tare	3	17.41	Dry Washer	J Weight	28.99	SIEVE SIZE NO. 2" 1"3/4 1/2 #4 #10 #40 #100 #200
			Moisture Co	ontent	40.31	
SIEV	/E SIZE	WT.	DERCENIT	PERCENT	PERCENT	90
	DIAMETER	RETAINED	PERCEINI	PASSING	PASSING	80
INCH / NO.	(mm)	(gm)	RETAINED	10TH	(%)	
2"	50.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	ק פֿ זי
1"	25.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
3/4	19.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
1/2"	12.50	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
#4	4.75	2.37	4.04	95.96	96	
#10	2.0	1.82	3.10	92.86	93	
#40	0.425	4.38	7.47	85.39	85	
#100	0.15	7.88	13.43	71.96	72	
#200	0.075	12.54	21.37	50.59	51	
Р	'AN					
WAS	HLOSS	29.68	50.59			
тс	JTAL	58.67				7 100 10 1 0.1 0.01 PARTICLE SIZE DIAMETER
i						

LIQUID LIMIT & PLASTIC LIMIT (ASTM D 4318-05)

LI	PLASTIC LIMIT					
TRIAL NO.	1	2	3	4	1	2
WT. OF WET SOIL + TARE	18.22	19.97	20.88	24.10	20.12	19.62
WT. OF DRY SOIL + TARE	15.18	16.52	17.04	19.42	17.00	16.68
WATER CONTENT	3.04	3.45	3.84	4.68	3.12	2.94
TARE NO.	R11	L10	F2	N1	R7	W6
TARE WT.	6.45	6.84	6.57	6.94	6.61	6.56
WT. OF DRY SOIL	8.73	9.68	10.47	12.48	10.39	10.12
MOISTURE CONTENT, %	34.8	35.6	36.7	37.5	30.0	29.1
NO. OF BLOWS	35	29	23	19		
LIQUID LIMIT		30	5.3		AVERAGE	29.5

LIQUID LIMIT:	36.3
PLASTIC LIMIT:	29.5
PLASTICITY INDEX:	6.8

SOIL DESCRIPTION:	Sandy SILT
GROUP SYMBOL	ML
COLOR	brown

TESTED BY:

A. Pradeep











PROJECT: PROPOSED PVT-4 TOWER LOCATION: Highlands, Brgy. Estanza, Legaspi City, Albay SAMPLE NO.: <u>BH-1 SS-9</u> DEPTH: <u>13.05 - 13.50 m</u> DATE: <u>March 01, 2022</u>

SIEVE ANALYSIS (ASTM D 422)

Wet Soil + \	Wt. Of Tare	112.43	Original Wt	Original Wt		PARTICLE -SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE
Dry Soil + V	Vt. Of Tare	84.73	Oven-Dry W	/t.	67.80	
Wt. Of Tare	3	16.93	Dry Washer	d Weight	34.77	SIEVE SIZE NO. 2" 1"3/4 1/2 #4 #10 #40 #100 #200
			Moisture Co	ontent	40.86	
SIEV	/E SIZE	WT.	DERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	
	DIAMETER	RETAINED	PERCEIVE	PASSING	PASSING	80
INCH / NO.	(mm)	(gm)	RETAINED	10TH	(%)	
2"	50.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
1"	25.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
3/4	19.0	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
1/2"	12.50	0.00	0.00	100.00	100	
#4	4.75	4.31	6.36	93.64	94	
#10	2.0	1.47	2.17	91.47	91	
#40	0.425	5.79	8.54	82.94	83	
#100	0.15	9.59	14.14	68.79	69	
#200	0.075	13.61	20.07	48.72	49	
P	'AN					
WAS	H LOSS	33.03	48.72			
тс	JTAL	67.80				100 10 1 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0
i						

LIQUID LIMIT & PLASTIC LIMIT (ASTM D 4318-05)

LIQUID LIMIT					PLASTIC LIMIT	
TRIAL NO.	1	2	3	4	1	2
WT. OF WET SOIL + TARE	21.88	19.63	24.09	21.97	20.89	21.09
WT. OF DRY SOIL + TARE	17.79	15.94	19.18	17.48	17.69	17.73
WATER CONTENT	4.09	3.69	4.91	4.49	3.20	3.36
TARE NO.	R1	X12	H11	J6	C5	M3
TARE WT.	7.01	6.41	6.96	6.60	6.64	6.45
WT. OF DRY SOIL	10.78	9.53	12.22	10.88	11.05	11.28
MOISTURE CONTENT, %	37.9	38.7	40.2	41.3	29.0	29.8
NO. OF BLOWS	32	27	20	16	AVERACE 20.4	20.4
LIQUID LIMIT	39.1				AVERAGE	29.4

LIQUID LIMIT:	39.1
PLASTIC LIMIT:	29.4
PLASTICITY INDEX:	9.7

SOIL DESCRIPTION:	Sandy SILT
GROUP SYMBOL	ML
COLOR	brown

A. Pradeep

nigo

TESTED BY:

